



Comptroller General  
of the United States

Washington, D.C. 20548

## Decision

**Matter of:** Good Products Co.-Reconsideration

**File:** B-261513.2

**Date:** August 7, 1995

### DECISION

Good Products Co. requests reconsideration of our decision of June 5, 1995, dismissing its protest of the General Services Administration's (GSA) determination that it was not a responsible contractor under solicitation No. TFTC94-SM-9714 and GSA's subsequent referral to the Small Business Administration (SBA).

We deny the request for reconsideration because the request provides no basis for reconsidering our prior decision.

The protester only repeats arguments it made previously and expresses disagreement with our decisions. Under our Bid Protest Regulations, to obtain reconsideration the requesting party must show that our prior decision may contain either errors of fact or law or present information not previously considered that warrants reversal or modification of our decision. 4 C.F.R. § 21.12(a). The repetition of arguments made during our consideration of the original protest and mere disagreement with our decision do not meet this standard. R.E. Scherrer, Inc.-Recon., B-231101.3, Sept. 21, 1988, 88-2 CPD ¶ 274.

Here, Good Products again contends that the agency improperly made its nonresponsibility determination and that the determination reflects agency bias against it. This is a matter for the SBA; it is that agency, through its certificate of competency process, that protects small businesses from nonresponsibility determinations that are the result of bias or bad faith.

The request for reconsideration is denied.

*Ronald Berger*

Ronald Berger  
Associate General Counsel

064355/154964